Performance Measurement in the Conservation Community

Research survey for "Measuring Conservation Effectiveness Summit," May 5-6, Palo Alto, California.

Over the past few years, there have been major advances in measuring the effectiveness of biodiversity conservation projects, programs, and organizations. There is still, however, a major gap between the rhetoric of organizations and funders in terms of the desirability of measuring conservation effectiveness, versus the reality of actually adopting and implementing systematic and transparent systems that allow us to improve our collective work. To this end, the members of the Conservation Measures Partnership (CMP) and representatives of key environmental funders are planning a Measuring Conservation Effectiveness Summit May 5 & 6th 2010 that will focus attention on these critical issues. In anticipation of the summit, a committee has been formed to conduct original research on the current state and direction of systematic performance measurement (SPM) among summit attendees. We define SPM as:

the regular monitoring, evaluation and adaptation of conservation actions

based on clearly stated goals, objectives, and assumptions

so as to assess effectiveness, promote learning, and report achievements

For the purposes of this survey, our use of the term SPM is roughly synonymous with the terms "adaptive management" and "systematic effectiveness measurement." We now look to you for the status and direction of SPM within your organization, and examples of its use in conservation.

Please note that your willingness to respond honestly and critically is essential to this survey's success. Responses will be shared in aggregate and anonymously. We will thus not be sharing responses attributed to either specific people or organizations unless subsequent permission is granted.

Instructions for Lead Respondent

The survey should take 2 hours to complete, depending on the availability of information within your organization. The lead respondent is not expected to be able to complete the survey by him/herself, but rather act as the data facilitator for the organization. Fill out as much as you can and pursue missing information from key informants within the organization. Examples of key informants may include programmatic officers, HR, IT, and project audit staff. You can forward this link to colleagues, use the link to access and complete the survey over multiple days on multiple computers, BUT please be advised that you and other respondents must hit the "NEXT" button on each page to save responses when you exit the survey. You should also have received the survey as a Word document to facilitate copying and pasting relevant questions and forwarding them to the appropriate colleague.

The survey is divided into five sections: (1) Contact and Org Info, (2) Importance of SPM within Org, (3) Scale & Quality, (4) Factors supporting SPM adoption, and (5) Obstacles to SPM. It is admittedly detailed in the scale, extent, and audience in which questions are based. Recognizing that many lead respondents will not have precise answers to some questions (if at all), we ask you to do the best you can and, where necessary, note your confidence or level of precision in the commentary box associated with each question. We would rather have rough guesses for each question, rather than have them left blank.

Matt Muir is available for assistance in all aspects of survey completion. He can be reached at muirmatthewj@qmail.com, 530-902-6476, skype: matthewjmuir, and is located in Washington, DC. If needed, Matt would be glad to walk through the survey with folks on the phone. Matt will also review answers and may email the lead respondent for clarification.

General Information
Contact & Organization Info
1. Lead Respondent
Your Name:
Institution or Organization:
Position:
Country in which you are based:
Email Address:
Phone Number:
2. Additional people who assisted in completing questionnaire, if applicable:
Person 1 Name & Position
Person 1 Email
Person 2 Name & Position
Person 2 Email
Person 3 Name & Position
Person 3 Email
3. Additional comments on data facilitator & contributors:
General Information
Contact and Organization Info
4. Organization you are reporting for:
5. Within your organization, what is the approximate number of
organizational staff?
programs?
projects?

6. Notes			
Staff notes:			
Describe what you mean l 'program' in your org:	by		
Describe what you mean I 'project' in your org:	by		
7. Approximate	annual conservation	budget in US\$ for F	Y2010
8. Budget Notes			
9. Approximate	% of conservation w	ork in US & Canada	vs outside:
US & Canada			
Outside US & Canada			
10. Additional co	omments on your orga	anization	
	_		
How is performa	nce measurement	important in you	ır organization?
Γhe goal of Section 1 is t	nce measurement to understand the basic nations organization, including t	ure and importance of sys	stematic performance
The goal of Section 1 is to measurement (SPM) in your SPM.	o understand the basic nat	ure and importance of systhe types of questions tha	stematic performance t might be addressed by
The goal of Section 1 is to measurement (SPM) in your SPM. 11. How strongly	o understand the basic nate our organization, including t	ure and importance of systhe types of questions that	stematic performance t might be addressed by ing statement:
The goal of Section 1 is to measurement (SPM) in your SPM. 11. How strongly	o understand the basic nation organization, including to you agree/disagnization does	ure and importance of systhe types of questions that	stematic performance to might be addressed by sing statement: erformance
The goal of Section 1 is to measurement (SPM) in your SPM. 11. How strongly	o understand the basic nation organization, including to you agree/disagnization does	ure and importance of systhe types of questions that gree with the follow	stematic performance to might be addressed by sing statement: erformance
The goal of Section 1 is to measurement (SPM) in your SPM. 11. How strongly My organ C Strongly Agree 12. Please description	o understand the basic nations or organization, including to you agree/disagnization does some asurements.	ure and importance of systhe types of questions that gree with the follow systematic pent (SPM) wel Moderately Disagree in your organization	stematic performance t might be addressed by sing statement: erformance I. C Strongly Disagree on. Where possible,

13. If your organization does or attempts SPM, how important are the following reasons behind that effort?

	Very important	Somewhat important	Minimally important	Not at all important
To improve organizational effectiveness and/or efficiency	0	\odot	0	\odot
To improve strategic fundraising	0	\odot	0	\odot
To improve evidence-based communication	O	0	0	0
To improve coordination and/or integration across programs	· •	\circ	\circ	lacktriangle
To enable project managers to evaluate effectiveness	O	\circ	O	\circ
To facilitate adaptive learning of what works in conservation	0	\circ	\circ	\odot
To satisfy pressure from donors and/or supporters to show results	O	0	O	\odot
To satisfy requests from board and/or upper management	\circ	\odot	\circ	\odot
To satisfy requests from project managers	O	\odot	O	\odot
To guide funding decisions by board and/or upper management	O	\odot	O	\circ
To guide capacity building decisions by board and/or upper management $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify)				

Other	(please specify)	

14. Comments on reasons listed above, additional reasons (please indicate importance), and general commentary if your organization does not practice SPM:

<u> </u>	

15. How important is answering the following questions within your organization?

5. 3				
	Very important	Somewhat important	Minimally important	Not at all important
Are our projects having their intended impacts?	0	\odot	\odot	\odot
Are our programs having their intended impacts?	\circ	\odot	\odot	\odot
Are our actions cost-effective?	0	\circ	0	\odot
Are our actions being adapted and improved?	\circ	0	\circ	\odot
Can our actions be better coordinated across the org?	O	\circ	0	\odot
Can credible results be demonstrated to our board, donors, and supporters?	O	\circ	\odot	0
Do we understand why a project/program fails when it does so? $ \\$	0	0	O	0
What can be learned to improve our organization's work?	\circ	\odot	\odot	\odot
Other (please specify)				

Measuring Conservation Effectiveness - Implementing Orgs 16. Using your organization's current SPM system(s), how well can your organization answer the following questions? Very well Somewhat well Minimally well Not at all 0 0 Are our projects having their intended impacts? 0 0 0 0 Are our programs having their intended impacts? Are our actions cost-effective? 0 0 ((·) (·) (·) Are our actions being adapted and improved? Can our actions be better coordinated across the org? Can credible results be demonstrated to our board, donors, 0 and supporters? Do we understand why a project/program fails when it does What can be learned to improve our organization's work? 0 \bigcirc \bigcirc 0 Other (please specify) 17. Comments on questions listed above and any additional questions (please indicate importance within org and how well you're able to address them currently): 18. In general for each audience, how strongly do you agree/disagree with the following statements: Project Program Upper Board managers directors management Has a thorough understanding of what SPM is and how it is implemented at the organization Has a thorough understanding of what the possible benefits of SPM are to the organization Has a positive/favorable attitude towards implementing SPM within the organization Views the implementation of SPM in the near future as a high priority Comments on statements and audiences:

At what scales is your organization doing SPM well?

The goal of Section 2 is to understand the scale and quality of SPM practiced at your organization.

We are using two scales of organization: project-level and program-level. The terms 'project' and

'program' mean different things to different people. Please use the definition of those terms that you gave on Page 3, Organization Info.

19. At the scale of projects and programs, is SPM....

	mandated at your organization?	practiced well at your organization?
Project		
Program		
Comments on mandate and	l extent at program & project scales:	

20. For each scale (project, program), indicate the extent to which your organization does each of the following SPM practices well:

	Projects	Programs
A well-defined scope of work		
Identification & outreach to key stakeholders in planning process		
Identification & outreach to key organizational partners in planning process		
Identification of conservation targets (aka focal biodiversity, landscape species, biodiversity conservation priorities)		
Identification of threats		
Prioritization of threats to be addressed		
Situation analysis (aka conceptual model development, situation assessment, threat & opportunity analysis)		
Identification of conservation actions		
Prioritization of conservation actions to be implemented		
Articulation of logic models for conservation actions (aka results chains, theories of change, explicit identification of the core assumptions behind conservation action)		
Development of conservation action plan		
Development of monitoring plan		
Implementation of monitoring plan		
Development of operational plan		
Implementation of operational plan		
Assessment of conservation status		
Assessment of performance of conservation action		
Use of data from monitoring and assessment to adapt future conservation action		
Sharing of lessons learned from monitoring, assessment, and adaptation outside the project or program team but within the organization (internally)		
Sharing of lessons learned from monitoring, assessment, and adaptation outside the organization		
Other (please specify)		

<i>,</i> .	•	• •	ns, and tools used at
your organization to additional SPM prac	-		. If you specified an
uuditioilai oi p	rice, piedoc deseri	be nere:	
			▽
22. Estimate the tol	al number of proje	ects that have	
good conservation plans in p	place (i.e., planned)		
implemented plan and monitored)	toring (i.e., planned, implem	ented plan, and	
gone full cycle (i.e., planned adapted)	, implemented plan, monitor	ed, evaluated, &	
23. Of the total bud	get for your organ	ization's cons	ervation efforts, roug
what % of total con	servation spend is		
guided by SPM? 0-20%, 21-4 100%	10%, 41-60%, 61-80%, 81-		
spent on SPM? less than 1% 20%	, 1-5%, 5-20%, more than		
24. How many full-t	ime equivalent sta	ff are dedicate	ed to implementing an
supporting SPM?	-		•
25. Please specify n	numbers of SPM		
coaches (i.e., someone who i		oject to facilitate SPM)	:
trainers (i.e., someone who	can lead workshops in SPM, t	rain coaches):	
auditors:			
system/IT staff:			
	nents on resources	s (\$ and staff)	spent on SPM at your
organization:			
			✓ ✓
hat factors have s	supported adopt	ion of SPM i	n your organizatio
	derstand the adoption of		

27. Where you do see SPM happening in your organization, how important were the following 'key ingredients' or 'catalysts' to SPM adoption? (Please answer this question ONLY if you feel that SPM has been adopted)

	Absolutely essential	Very important	Moderately useful	Not necessar
A vision for what could be accomplished with SPM	\odot	0	O	0
Evidence that SPM led to increased effectiveness and/or efficiency	lacktriangle	O	0	O
Seeing it being successfully implemented by other conservation or development NGO	\odot	0	0	0
A comprehensive plan that integrated SPM	\odot	\odot	\odot	\odot
Presence of a champion within organization	\odot	•	0	\odot
Dedicated SPM program with staff supporting implementation	\circ	O	0	O
Dedicated funding for SPM	lacktriangle	\odot	\odot	lacktriangle
Institutional mandate	\odot	lacktriangle	\odot	\odot
Donor requirement to adopt SPM	\odot	0	0	\odot
Donor reporting requirement	\odot	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	\circ	\odot
Software tools that support SPM collection, management, &	0	\odot	0	\odot
reporting Other (please specify) 28. Additional comments on key ingred	ients:			
Other (please specify)	ove, what	option wit	hin your	
28. Additional comments on key ingred 29. In rank order and using the list about the list the same and list the same list the same list the same list the same list the list the same list the list the same list the list the list the same list the list the list the list the list list the list list list list list list list list	ove, what to SPM ad ne factor	option wit	hin your once.	
28. Additional comments on key ingred 29. In rank order and using the list about the list the same and list the same list the same list the same list the same list the list the same list the list the same list the list the list the same list the list the list the list the list list the list list list list list list list list	ove, what to SPM ad ne factor	loption wit more than	hin your once.	
28. Additional comments on key ingred 29. In rank order and using the list about the list the same list the same list the same list the list list the list list list list list list list list	ove, what to SPM ad ne factor	loption wit more than	hin your once.	
28. Additional comments on key ingred 29. In rank order and using the list about the same or catalysts to organization? Please do not list the same key ingredients or catalysts the same same same same same same same sam	ove, what to SPM ad ne factor	loption wit more than	hin your once.	
28. Additional comments on key ingred 29. In rank order and using the list about the properties of catalysts to organization? Please do not list the same key ingredients of the same key ingredients	ove, what to SPM ad ne factor	loption wit more than	hin your once.	

Ме	asuring Conservation Effectiveness - Implementing Orgs
	30. Do you have evidence that SPM leads to improvements in conservation
	effectiveness or efficiency?
	C Strong evidence C Moderate evidence C Weak or anecdotal C No evidence evidence
	General comments on evidence
	31. Please give illustrative examples of <i>specific programs or projects</i> that have provided evidence or anecdotal support of SPM leading to improved
	conservation effectiveness or efficiency. Where possible, specify the evidence (e.g., a change in strategy based on a reduction in threat status).
W	hat obstacles have impeded adoption of SPM in your organization?
The	e goal of Section 4 is to understand the obstacles that impede SPM in your organization.
	, το

32. In your experience, how important are the following factors in impeding adoption of SPM in your organization?

	Very important (extreme obstacle)	important (major barrier)	Minimally important (minor hurdle	Not importan (not an issue)
ack of money	O	0	0	0
ack of time	\circ	\circ	\circ	\odot
ack of staff dedicated to SPM	0	0	0	lacktriangle
ack of good examples of SPM helping to achieve onservation goals	O	O	0	O
erception that SPM is too complex	O	O	O	0
erception that SPM is too simplistic	\circ	0	\circ	\odot
rerception that SPM (or related terms: adaptive nanagement, monitoring & evaluation) has become a neaningless buzzword	О	0	0	O
erception that SPM is unnecessary to doing effective onservation	O	\circ	O	O
Reporting guidelines of major donors discourage or inhibit SPM	0	0	0	0
ack of donor pressure	\circ	\circ	\circ	\odot
ack of board pressure	O	0	0	\circ
ack of peer pressure	\circ	\odot	\odot	\odot
ack of training	0	0	0	\odot
ack of quality trainers	\circ	\odot	\odot	\odot
ack of database exchange to share practices and learning	0	0	0	\odot
ack of support from upper management	\circ	\circ	\circ	\odot
ack of demand from upper management	0	0	0	0
ack of support and/or interest from project managers	0	0	0	\odot
ack of good software tools to implement SPM	0	0	0	\odot
ack of an overall culture of accountability to our bottom lin biodiversity conservation)	ie O	O	O	O
ack of incentives to change the status quo (i.e., SPM not a art of business practice to date and no incentive to		O	O	O
hange)				

Me	asuring Conservation Effectiven	ness - Implementing Orgs				
	34. In rank order and using the list ab important obstacles to SPM adoption v					
	not list the same factor more than one					
	#1 (most important)	Obstacles				
	#2					
	#3					
	Comments on ranking					
	35. Please give illustrative examples o highlight the <u>obstacles</u> faced by your o					
	possible, give anecdotes or evidence tothe future direction of SPM in your	Please describe the outlook for SPM in your organization. Where sible, give anecdotes or evidence that provide insight on: the future direction of SPM in your organization, and critical future steps if SPM is to be adopted more widely within your organization				
Tŀ	nanks!					
You que	u're done! Please remember that Matt Muir is availa estions, complaints that the survey is too long, etc					
	37. Do you have any specific ideas for the Summit that you would like to offer to the organizers? Do you have any specific concerns that you would like to share?					

isaring conserve	ition Lifectivene	ess - Implementing Orgs	
88. Additional comm	ents:		
		<u>A</u>	
		▼.	